	1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
2	
3	TAMMY J. KITZMILLER, et al., : Plaintiffs :
4	: Case Number : 4:04-CV-02688
5	DOVER AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT; :
6	DOVER AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT : BOARD OF DIRECTORS, :
7	Defendants :
8	ALTERNOON GEGGTON
9	AFTERNOON SESSION
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
11	OF BENCH TRIAL
12	Before: HONORABLE JOHN E. JONES, III
13	Date : October 20, 2005
14	Place: Courtroom Number 2, 9th Floor Federal Building
15	228 Walnut Street Harrisburg, Pennsylvania
16	
17	COUNSEL PRESENT:
18	ERIC J. ROTHSCHILD, ESQ.
19	WITOLD J. WALCZAK, ESQ. STEPHEN G. HARVEY, ESQ.
20	THOMAS B. SCHMIDT, III, ESQ. ALFRED WILCOX, ESQ.
21	For - Plaintiffs
22	PATRICK T. GILLEN, ESQ.
23	JULIE SHOTZBARGER, ESQ. For - Defendants
24	rot beteindants
25	Lori A. Shuey, RPR, CRR U.S. Official Court Reporter

1 THE COURT: Good afternoon to all of you. We are here for our somewhat abbreviated, half-day 2 afternoon session of this trial. 3 4 Now, I have the exhibits from Professor 5 Behe's testimony, but if you'd like -- and this is 6 certainly fine with me -- we could just proceed to 7 have the testimony and we could save this for a later point in time. That's perfectly all right with me. I 8 9 see nods. 10 MR. GILLEN: Yes. 11 THE COURT: No shakes of the head. So with 12 that, why don't we get right into the testimony, and 13 you may call your next witness. 14 MR. GILLEN: Thank you, Judge. The defense 15 calls Dr. Richard Nilsen. 16 DR. RICHARD NILSEN, called as a witness, 17 having been duly sworn or affirmed, testified as 18 follows: 19 THE CLERK: State your name and spell your 20 name for the record. 21 THE WITNESS: Richard Dean Nilsen. Richard, 22 R-i-c-h-a-r-d, Dean, D-e-a-n, Nilsen, N-i-l-s-e-n. 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION

Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Nilsen.

BY MR. GILLEN:

24

1 A. Good afternoon.

- Q. You're here to give your testimony in this case. Let me ask you, are you currently employed?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Where at?
 - A. Dover Area School District.
 - Q. Would you give us just an idea who you are as a person, your family status. Are you married?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And you have children?
 - A. One child 21 years old.
 - Q. How about your educational background, would you briefly describe that for us, please?
 - A. I have an undergraduate degree, BA in history at Gordon College, received my master's at Shippensburg University in education administration, and I have a doctorate in education administration from Temple University.
 - Q. And if you would, please sketch your employment background before coming to Dover.
 - A. I began my career at Dover -- I'm sorry,

 Derry Township School District as a social studies

 teacher. My first administrative position was at Big

 Spring School District as assistant principal/athletic

 director. I was then elevated to principal at the

1 MR. GILLEN: Thank you.

BY MR. GILLEN:

- Q. Rich, I'd ask that you open that binder I've just provided to you and direct your attention to Defendants' Exhibit 288.
 - A. I have that.
 - Q. We've seen this document before in the trial, and I'd like you to say, do you recognize this document?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. What is it?
 - A. It's the minutes I authored from the Dover
 Area School District board administrative retreat for
 January 29th, 2002.
 - Q. You just called it "minutes." Are they minutes, Rich?
 - A. They're more my notes.
 - Q. Okay. I want to get a sense for how this document was generated. But before I do that, I want to describe briefly how this document came to our attention in this litigation. Tell the Court how you found this document and turned it over to me.
 - A. This past summer, after coming back from vacation, with the summer being somewhat slow, it gives an opportunity for administrators to go through

members and current board members and administrators

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

where we ended up having a dinner for about an hour,
where the administrators and board got together
socially.

And then we ended up having a -- kind of a this-is-what-the-school-is-doing presentation of where each administrator had an opportunity to talk about what tasks they were involved in. And then at the very end, we ended up having a quick go-round for the board.

- Q. Let me ask you just about the general purpose of the meeting. Did you give the meeting a name?
 - A. Board administrative retreat.
- Q. And was this in place when you came to Dover, or did you put this meeting in place?
 - A. I put it in place.

- Q. And what was your purpose in doing so?
- A. I think two or three reasons. The first reason was get acquainted. One of the strategic plan initiatives was team-building, and we knew that with a new school board coming on, that we needed to develop a team concept between administrators and the board.

Secondly, we were looking, quite honestly, to boast about what the administrators were doing throughout the district and also to take a look at

1 what future tasks we were planning on doing.

- Q. As you sit here today, do you have a sense for how long this meeting on January 9th, 2002 took?
- A. Most people would say too long, but I would say about three and a half hours.
- Q. Okay. You look at Exhibit 288 here, this document that has the Bates Stamp Number 3968 at the foot of the page, the lower right-hand corner, and I want to get a sense for specifically how this list was generated. Would you describe how the information that's reflected in this document was communicated to you?
- A. After the administrators were done, the board then had an opportunity to state anything that they wanted to, and we went around the table, and each board member had about a minute or two.
- Q. And what did they do with that minute or two?
 - A. They ended up stating comments or issues.
- Q. And I take it you took notes based on what they mentioned?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. I'd ask you to turn to the next page of Exhibit 288 with the Bates Stamp Number 3969 in the lower right-hand corner. Look at that. Do you

- 1 recognize that document?
- 2 A. Yes, I do.

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

20

- Q. What is it, Rich?
- A. It's the agenda for the subsequent board administrative retreat on March 26th, 2003.
 - Q. Now, you've mentioned that this agenda is for a meeting on March 26th, 2003. Would an agenda similar to this have been prepared for the 2002 meeting?
 - A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Do you have that document, Rich, the agenda 12 for the 2002 meeting?
- A. Do I have it with me?
- Q. Yes. Do you have it?
- 15 A. No.
 - Q. Okay. Let me ask you this. If you look at the page that's stamped 3969, you'll see it has an Item Roman VI. Would you look at that?
- 19 A. Yes.
 - Q. What's the heading for that section of the meeting on March 26th, 2003?
- A. Roman Numeral VI is Board Feedback and Items
 of Interest.
- Q. Is that the section of the retreat that produced the listing we have as Page 3968 for the

- 1 meeting in January of 2002?
- 2 A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. I understand, but was that the same portion of the meeting, Board Feedback and Items of Interest?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, if we turn our attention back to Exhibit 288, at the page marked 3968, I want you to take a look at each of the board members. Just get a sense for what their items of interest were and, you know, what importance they took on in this 2002 year or thereafter.
 - If you look at the first letter, it's letter A, and that's Mrs. Brown. Is that Carol known as Casey Brown?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And there's --
- THE COURT: Mr. Gillen, you're on your 288.
- 18 Is that right?
- MR. GILLEN: That's correct, Your Honor, at Page 3968, the first page of that exhibit.
- THE COURT: Liz, where is -- I'm up to 281,

 Volume 7.
- MR. GILLEN: Your Honor, you correctly note, these were late additions.
- THE COURT: Should that be in the 281 book?

1 MR. GILLEN: It should. It should be in Volume 7, Liz, and should be properly tabbed. 2

> THE COURT: If you're not going to put it on the screen, let me make sure I have it in front of me.

> > MR. GILLEN: Sure.

THE COURT: You can proceed.

MR. GILLEN: Thank you.

BY MR. GILLEN:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- If you look under Mrs. Brown, there are a 0. number of items there, Rich. Would you read them for the record?
 - A. Mrs. Brown?
- 13 Q. Yes.
- Full-day kindergarten, block schedule, intermediate school, board reps, three-year policy 16 review, discipline policy, pathways, PE credit for 17 sports.
 - Q. As we sit here today, do you have a recollection of at least some of those topics that are listed under Mrs. Brown's name?
 - A. Yes.
 - Tell me what you can about the full-day kindergarten option.
 - One of the options and one of the reasons we instituted this was to actually develop partnerships

administratively in things that we wanted to do. And one of the things administratively we wanted to do was begin research and implementation of a full-day kindergarten. So under Mrs. Brown, she has listed full-day kindergarten, so I remember very specifically the conversations subsequent to this that I had with her on that.

- Q. And did that issue that she raised produce action in the coming year?
- A. Yes. In fact, within months, she was involved with us in researching and eventually developing and currently implementing full-day kindergarten throughout the district.

- Q. How about the second item under her name, block schedule?
- A. Yes. Her concern was the intermediate school had block scheduling, and subsequent to this conversation, we eliminated it.
 - Q. What about the third item, board reps?
- A. I cannot tell you what that refers to. I don't remember.
 - Q. How about the three-year policy review?
- A. Her biggest issue when she came on the board was the fact that she had gone to a weekend seminar that stated that we ought to have policies update --

updated every three years. And it was one of my
initiatives as a new superintendent because our
policies were 20, if not 25 years old. So one of the
challenges she gave us is to make sure that all our
policies were current, and that was one of the things
that I wanted to do, as well.

- Q. How about the discipline policy, do you remember anything that Casey Brown said in 2002 about that?
 - A. Not specifically, no.
 - Q. How about pathways?
 - A. Yes. She was not in favor of pathways.
 - Q. How about the PE credit for sports?
- A. At this time period I can't remember anything specifically she said, although I've had conversations with Mrs. Brown about PE and credit.
- Q. Okay. Let's just take a quick look at Noel Wenrich. There are some items listed under his name there. The first one, alternative ed, do you remember anything specific about that?
 - A. No.
 - Q. How about discipline policy?
- 23 A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q. Tell me briefly what you remember about that item.

- A. Mr. Wenrich wanted consistent discipline policies for all students.
 - Q. How about the drug policy, Item 3?
 - A. I don't remember anything about the drug policy. I do remember him talking about and requesting that we research the drug dog initiative.
 - Q. Did that ever issue an action?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. How about the three-year policy review?
- A. I believe he was echoing Mrs. Brown's comment that we ought to upgrade and update all of our policies.
- Q. Let's look at Alan Bonsell. You'll see there that it's already been highlighted in this trial. Under his name, the first item is creationism. As you sit here today, do you remember Mr. Bonsell saying anything to you about creationism at this retreat meeting on January 9th, 2002?
 - A. No.
- Q. How about prayer?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. Do you remember anything he said about the need for administration to work as a team?
 - A. No.
- Q. How about curriculum?

- 1
- Α. No.
- 2
- How about uniforms? Q.
- 3
- No. Α.
- 4
- Well, you know, let me ask you this, Rich,

asked and will be asked again, you know, tell the

- 5
- because I think it's a fair question. You've been
- 6
- 7 Judge why it is that you remember some of these things
- 8
- 9 Well, I'll state it in a number of fashions, Α.
- 10
- 11

- 16
- 18

- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24

- but you don't remember others.
- but first of all, each of these had a relative
- emphasis, meaning when we began the school year, there
- 12 was an emphasis on certain things that needed to be
- 13 completed. Mr. Bonsell had specifically been elected
- 14 to the board -- at least his campaign was dealing with
- 15 the high school project.
 - The prior board had developed, gone out on
- 17 bids, and had actually ended up with all but bid
 - acceptance. The new board came in, and Mr. Bonsell's
- 19 main responsibility was the building project. And
- 20 almost to exclusiveness, Mr. Bonsell and I, along with
 - Mr. Wenrich, worked very closely on the building
 - project. So our conversations were almost totally
 - exclusive to the building project.
 - And let me ask you about that, Rich.
- 25 is the January, 2002 period. Were there specific

projects that loomed large for you as a superintendent in Dover at this time?

- A. Yes. This meeting on the 4th was my first week on the job, as well as the prior superintendent had retired and the board took six months to hire a replacement. So in the spring, I was basically without an assistant in a new position with a building project and two or three priorities that I had chosen, along with the building project, to concentrate on.
- Q. Let's flip the page here, still on Exhibit 288, but go to 3969, which is the agenda for the March 26th, 2003 meeting. And I just want you to, again, briefly describe how this document was generated.
 - A. Bates Stamp 3969?
- Q. Correct.

A. Similar fashion as the 2002 agenda. It would have been where we had — that would be the second board administrative retreat where we ended up bringing in board members, as well as administrators, for dinner. You can see where we had the welcome and then the dinner.

And then we ended up having an administrative go-round on accomplishments. As I alluded to before, it ran later than expected. We

asked each of the administrators to have three

minutes, and I think most of them took seven minutes

because they were so proud of what they were doing.

And then, again, at the end of the evening we asked the board to, once again, as in the previous year, to go around and give a one- or two-minute quick comment.

- Q. Okay. If we look at the first document I showed you, these issues from 2002, and then we look at the agenda for 2003, do you see points of contact, points of continuity, between these two documents which, to you, reflect the priorities of board business at that time?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Just give us an idea for what some of those are based on your recollection of what was looming large at this meeting on March 26th, 2003.
- A. Once again, I think boards are consistent in their thoughts of discipline and budget and finance, and you'll note that there are issues that board members had brought up in the prior year that continue in the subsequent year.
- Q. And give us just a quick idea for what some of those are.
 - A. You'll note that Mrs. Brown had an issue

with intermediate school block scheduling in 2002. In 2003, she had an issue this year with the high school block scheduling, still had an issue with pathways, as well as most of the board continually talked about

Q. All right. If you look down on -- at that document to the items that are N and O, you'll see some reference to curriculum there. Can you recall, Rich, what was the subject of discussion about curriculum at this March 26th, 2003 meeting?

supporting the middle school or middle level students.

- A. I'm sorry, could you be more specific?
- Q. Sure, Items N and O.
- A. Which Bates?
- 0. 3969.

- A. Yes. If you remember, we had talked before about initiatives. We were talking about the extended kindergarten you'll see in D. But it's also at this time period that the science curriculum, the state mandates were coming up and we ended up having individuals, Mrs. Hoppe and Mr. Hufnagel, start reviewing the science standards.
- Q. Okay. Let's flip to the next page of
 Exhibit 288. It's got the Bates Stamp Number 3970 on
 it. And, again, I want to ask you just to give us an
 idea of how this document was generated, Rich. Do you

1 recognize this document?

- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. What is it?
- A. It's my notes on what the board members had said as we went around on the administrative retreat.
- Q. And, again, what sort of procedure produced these comments that are reflected in this document?
- A. Similarly in 2002 where they had a minute or two where they could make quick comments.
- Q. And you took notes based on those comments. Correct?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Well, again, for the sake of just trying to place this document in its context, I'm going to ask you to look at some of the items that are listed under the board members' names and see if, as you sit here today, you remember specific comments that they made at this meeting on March 26th, 2003.

How about Mr. Wenrich -- the first item for him is K through 12 discipline -- do you have any specific recollection of something he said?

- A. Yes.
- Q. What did he say?
- A. He was very concerned about double standards that we ended up having with students and wanted to

make sure that we had -- again, were consistent with our discipline.

- Q. Look at the rest of the items under his name, 2 through 4. Any of those that you have a specific recollection about?
- A. Yes, the alignment of technology and curriculum. He was very interested in technology. We had numerous conversations. In fact, he's employed as a technology individual, so he had a background with that, so we had long conversations. I remember very specifically his comments.
- Q. Apart from that item, do you have any specific recollection of statements he made about the other items listed under his name on this document?
 - A. No, I do not.

- Q. Let's look at -- the second person listed is Mrs. Callahan. She's got two items there. Do you have a specific recollection of anything that she said relating to those points listed under her name at this meeting on March 26th, 2003?
 - A. No, I do not.
- Q. Beneath her is Mr. Brown. He's got two items listed under his name. Do you have any specific recollection?
 - A. No.

- Q. All right. We get Mr. Bonsell again. He's got a number of items listed under there. Let's look at the first one, mandatory EdLine. Do you have a specific recollection about any comments Mr. Bonsell made about that item at this meeting on March 26th, 2003?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Tell us -- just give us an idea of what you recall.
- A. He was encouraging -- in fact, I think his specific comment was, as stated there, he wanted more information placed on the EdLine.
- Q. Item 2 is continue to stress manners, dress, and good behavior, help support parents. Do you remember anything you said along those lines?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Give us an idea real quick.
- A. His emphasis was the frustration parents were telling him that there was a mixed standard at school of where the -- some kids would come to school inappropriately dressed and then tell their parents that everybody else is dressed like that, and he wanted us to be consistent in our dress codes throughout the district.
 - Q. All right. For the moment, let's skip to

Item 4 underneath Mr. Bonsell's name, and that's a reference to emphasize American history. Do you recall any comments that Mr. Bonsell made at this March 26th, 2003 meeting?

A. Yes.

- Q. Tell us what you remember.
- A. Mr. Bonsell and I have had, over the three or four years, extended conversations about American history and the founding fathers. That's why we brought it up. It didn't surprise me because we had had conversations in that area. And specifically his interest is American history, and since my professional background is American history, we had talked about the founding fathers and the emphasis of making sure that's in our curriculum and the Constitution. So when he brought this up, it fit in with the conversations that I had had with him before.

I don't remember events more than dates.

That actually may have been my editorializing based on the fact that I firmly believe that.

Q. Well, you know, let's go back up to Item 3, and there again, as the plaintiffs have highlighted, there's a notation "creationism." As you sit here today, do you remember Mr. Bonsell saying anything to you about creationism during this two-minute go-round

1 session?

- A. No, I do not.
- Q. Prior to finding this document, did you ever remember Mr. Bonsell saying anything about creationism to you?
- A. No. In fact, even finding it in this document, I don't remember those conversations.
 - Q. What do you mean by that?
- A. Meaning even now, reviewing these, I don't remember either of those conversations or statements.
- Q. Well, again, I want to ask -- I think it's a fair question. You've been asked before. Why is it, Rich, that there are some of these things that you can remember and others you can't?
- A. I think it goes back to what I said earlier. There are specific areas of relative interest and emphasis that were going on during this time period.

 And I was more interested in the building project and how to make sure Mr. Bonsell supported certain aspects of the building project, as well as the budget.
- Q. Do you know if Mr. Buckingham attended this meeting?
 - A. I know he did not.
- Q. And do you have an understanding as to why he did not?

- A. Yes. It was this time we started getting a little bit of feedback that Mr. Buckingham had significant physical issues. I think he's had three or four operations on his knees, as well as I believe at this time period was his first or second time he was hospitalized for substance issues, Oxycontin addiction.
 - Q. Let me ask you, this is the 2003 year, were there any projects that loomed especially large for you as superintendent during this year?
 - A. Without question the building project.
 - Q. Did the building project have any impact on the makeup of the board?
 - A. I would easily estimate that the building project was the major electoral issue that changed the board. In fact, two of the board members, very good board members, ended up resigning over the building project's direction.
 - Q. And why is that?
 - A. Because they didn't like the way the project was headed.
 - Q. How about if you look at the board as -- in the 2003 period in terms of people who were aligned with each other, can you tell me which members resigned?

- A. The individuals that resigned at this time period were Mr. Larry Snoke and Lonnie Langione.
 - Q. Were there other board members that remained who had been frequent -- should I say board members who shared the convictions of Mr. Langione and Snoke?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

21

22

23

24

- Q. And who would that be?
- A. Mrs. Callahan.
 - Q. I'd ask you, Rich, to turn your attention to Exhibit 283.
 - A. I have it.
 - Q. You recognize that document?
- 13 A. Yes, I do.
 - O. What is it?
 - A. It's a letter directed to me from Messiah College.
- 17 Q. And what does the letter relate to?
- A. It's relating to a Pennsylvania School Board
 Association's meeting entitled, Creationism and the
 Law.
 - Q. You said there was a meeting, but look at the letter a little more closely just for the sake of being precise. Was it a meeting or something else?
 - A. My apologies, seminar.
 - Q. Okay. There's a handwritten note in the

upper right-hand corner there. Would you read that
for the record, Rich?

- A. Amy, please register me for this seminar and order this book. Rich wants me to attend. Thanks.
 - Q. Is that your handwritten notation?
 - A. No, it is not.

- Q. Does it reflect something that you did?
- A. It reflected me directing Mr. Baksa -- or recommending Mr. Baksa to attend.
 - Q. And why did you do that?
- A. We were looking at the science curriculum, and I knew Mr. Baksa's background was language arts, and I knew he had to develop an understanding of science. And in one of my prior experiences as a director of curriculum instruction during a science implementation, there were a lot of questions that were raised to me concerning evolution and science, and I thought it appropriate that Mr. Baksa gain an understanding of the issues.
- Q. Well, let me ask you, Rich, did you send Mr. Baksa to this seminar because you thought Alan Bonsell wanted to teach creationism?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did you ever have any discussion with Mike Baksa about the seminar?

1 A. Yes.

- 2 Q. Tell us what you recall about that discussion.
 - A. Mr. Baksa returned and communicated to me in a very general sense he had thought it was a very productive seminar.
 - Q. Did he say anything else?
- 8 A. No.
 - Q. This relates to an item of curriculum. In your capacity as superintendent, do you have a lot of dealings with curriculum?
 - A. No. In fact, I've always benefited from my former superiors. When I was a director of curriculum instruction and assistant superintendent, my superintendents didn't micromanage me and tell me what to do, and I've attempted to do the same with Mr. Baksa. So even though I have a supervisal piece, I've always tried to -- and he has done a good job with that, so I've tried to keep my fingers away from his activities.
 - Q. Let's look next at Defendants' Exhibit 1.

 Do you recognize that document, Rich?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - O. What is it?
- A. It's a memo to Mr. Baksa, Mr. Larry Redding,

- 1 Mrs. Bert Spahr from Dr. Trudy Peterman, who was the 2 principal at this time, carbon-copied to me.
 - Q. Do you remember receiving this?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did this memo give you cause for concern?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And what was that concern?
- A. My concern was a process that Dr. Peterman had been involved with in generating this memo.
 - Q. And explain that, Rich.
- A. We had difficulty with Dr. Peterman to the extent of where she continued to write memos directed to individuals and codifying information, that she did not work with the individual that she had noted in the memo. This was done about administrators, as well as teachers, as well as various department chairs.
- Q. Rich, I'd ask that you direct your attention to the first paragraph of the memo. First of all, tell us, what's the "re" line on the memo? What does it relate to?
- A. Creationism as it relates to the approved school board Biology I curriculum.
- Q. Okay. And then I'd ask you to direct your attention to that first paragraph to the portion of it that begins, Mrs. Spahr explained, and read it through

1 the end of that paragraph.

- A. Mrs. Spahr explained to Mr. Baksa that in Biology I, one theory of evolution taught is Darwinism. She explained to Mr. Baksa that all biology teachers state that another theory of evolution is creationism, but creationism, per se, is not taught since it is not addressed by the standards. Mr. Baksa further stated to Mrs. Spahr on March 31st, 2003, that this board member wanted 50 percent of the topic of evolution to involve the teaching of creationism.
 - Q. Let me ask you a few questions about that, Rich. When you got this memo, did you read it?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Were you concerned when you read what Dr. Peterman said about the teacher's practice in biology class mentioning creationism?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Why not?
 - A. Because they weren't teaching creationism.
 - Q. Let me ask you, did you ever discuss with Mr. Baksa the assertion made in the last sentence of that memo, that paragraph?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And what did you learn?

- A. I learned that he did not say that.
 - Q. Let me ask you, did you have -- did Dr. Peterman have a habit of taking things out of proportion?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Had that produced any impact on her job performance reviews?
 - A. Yes, it did.
- Q. At the same time let me ask you this. Did you punish Dr. Peterman for the content of this memo?
 - A. No.
- Q. You say, Rich, that you learned that creationism, per se, is not taught. When you say you're not concerned that the teacher was violating the law, what's the basis for that position?
- A. Teaching is a very specific art that generally has four components. The first component is very specific behavioral objectives. The second component is very specific student actions. The third would end up entailing materials. And the fourth would be a very specific assessment relationship to the behavioral objectives.
- Q. When you read this memo, Rich, did it ever occur to you that the teachers were delivering a mini-lecture on creationism?

Let's look at the remainder of 2003.

1

2

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

Α.

Q.

No.

- memo is dated April 1st, 2003. Let me ask you, do you recall any developments touching on proposed changes
- 5 to the biology curriculum in the remainder of 2003?
- A. No, I do not.
 - Q. Do you recall any developments touching on the biology text in 2003?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What do you recall?
- 11 A. I recall that the board was looking at
 12 fiscal issues. They had been elected to have a
 13 fiscally conservative budget, and one of the areas
 14 that they looked at was purchasing of the textbooks,
 15 science and family consumer science.
 - Q. Looking at this period in 2003, let's focus your attention in the fall, and let me ask you, do you remember hearing comments that students didn't have books during this period?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. And one of the books was a biology book?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Did that concern you?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Why?

A. We ended up in -- because of the state standards -- originally our biology was in tenth grade, and we wanted to, because of the test being in tenth grade, move the biology to ninth grade. So we had one year of where we had both our ninth grade and our tenth grade taking biology. And our teachers were very supportive and understood that we couldn't buy a whole class set or a whole grade set just for one year.

So what the teachers graciously did was coordinated a classroom set for each of the teachers and then used the textbooks whenever they needed to in the respective classes. So the students did not take home a text because we didn't have enough texts for two grades, but they did use the texts in class.

- Q. Well, looking, again, at this fall, 2003 period, do you recall any comments made about the books not being used?
- A. Yes. There was a specific board member,
 Mrs. Harkins, that ended up communicating that she had
 heard that the teachers didn't like the text and
 therefore were not using the text.
- Q. Did she give more detail on the nature of her concern?
 - A. Her concern was, why would we buy texts if

they didn't want the texts, as well as, we should wait to make sure that when we do buy a text, it is aligned with the current and new state standards.

- Q. You've mentioned the curriculum cycle. I just want to get an idea for what that is. Tell us, Rich, what is the curriculum cycle?
- A. When I came to Dover, the curriculum was reviewed and textbooks were purchased in a haphazard way, so I ended up developing a seven-year cycle of where every year designated a curriculum review and corresponding purchase of textbooks. That way we would not have one year of three or four different adoptions of textbooks and/or miss a review of a textbook adoption.
- Q. You've indicated that the science texts were up in 2003. Did they come up for review in the ordinary course of the curriculum cycle you've described?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Now, does the curriculum cycle relate to text purchase?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Tell us how.
- A. The curriculum is developed, and then the teachers take a look at what companion material -- in

most cases it would be a textbook -- would support the updated curriculum. And that would be the time we would purchase the textbooks and materials.

- Q. Let me ask you this, Rich. If you'd turn your attention back to Defendants' Exhibit 1, I want you to look and see if Dr. Peterman took any action in response to the conversation that you sought to reflect in this memorandum.
- A. I'm sorry, could you ask that question again?
- Q. Sure. Look at the memo again and see if you can -- it refreshes your recollection as to anything that Dr. Peterman told the science faculty to do.
- A. Well, if you look at the bottom half of the memo, she gives very specific curriculum directions.
 - Q. And what are they? Please read them.
- A. Number 1, if we are a standards-driven school district, can creationism be taught if it isn't addressed by either the state standards or by the approved school board Biology 1 curriculum?
- Q. Hold on, Rich. Before you begin there, let me just ask you to jump up to the title paragraph, the second paragraph, the heading where she says -- she's asking for direction and read the second sentence of that for the record.

- A. I advised them to continue to mention that creationism is another alternative theory of evolution.
 - Q. Now, I've got two questions for you there, Rich. First is, again, upon reading that, did you have a concern that your teachers were engaged in unlawful activity?
 - A. No.

- Q. And why is that?
- A. Once again, they are mentioning creationism and not teaching creationism.
- Q. Well, and let me ask you, did you have a concern when you read that statement?
- A. I had a concern of the process, meaning

 Dr. Peterman was taking the responsibility of a

 curriculum director, but as far as the actions of the

 individual teachers, no.
- Q. Again, Dr. Peterman is providing directions to the teachers. Was that within her area of responsibility as principal to speak to curriculum?
 - A. No.
- Q. That brings us to 2004, Rich, and I'd ask you to look at Defendants' Exhibit 2. Do you recognize that document?
 - A. Yes, I do.

1 Q. What is it?

- A. It's the budget submission for textbooks.
 - Q. And what's the document dated?
 - A. January 5th, 2004.
 - Q. And do you have an idea or can you tell us why you would receive this document?
 - A. The high school is resubmitting requests for biology textbooks.
 - Q. In 2003, the text was put off. Do you recall any concern on the part of the science faculty relating to when their texts would be purchased?
 - A. Yes, they were concerned on two levels.

 One, they were concerned that if they were not purchased that year, that we would move on to the next cycle and totally skip them and that it would be another seven years before they ended up getting their texts. And, secondly, they were also concerned on getting current and standards-driven texts.
 - Q. Did the board delay the purchase of the text for another cycle?
 - A. No, they did not.
 - Q. And is that why you were receiving this document in January, 2004?
 - A. Yes. The high school principal was directed to resubmit the biology and science and family

1 consumer science text requisitions.

- Q. Did the expressed concern that students not have biology textbooks, which you've mentioned in 2003, carry over into the 2004 year?
- A. I'm sorry, could you ask that question again?
- Q. You've mentioned that some people were saying the students don't have texts. Was that concern expressed also in 2004?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. How about the notion that the students weren't using the books, the teachers weren't using the books, was that concern also expressed in 2004?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Why are you receiving this document in January of 2004?
- 17 A. That would be the budget time period.
- Q. Rich, I'd ask you to look at Defendants'
- Exhibit 3. Do you recognize that document?
- 20 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. What is it?
- A. It's the curriculum advisory council minutes.
 - Q. What is the curriculum advisory council?
- A. It's a council chaired by the assistant

- superintendent for curriculum that has, as members, faculty, community members, and administrators.
 - Q. Does the curriculum advisory council have to be consulted prior to curriculum changes pursuant to Dover Area School District policy?
 - A. No.

- Q. Does the curriculum advisory council have to be consulted prior to text purchases pursuant to Dover Area School District policy?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did you seek the input of the curriculum advisory council concerning the curriculum change at issue in this case?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Were all of Dover's policies regarding curriculum development followed with respect to the curriculum change at issue in this case?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. If you look at Exhibit 3, Rich, there is an item Roman Numeral IV.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. If you look at that, there's a reference to the board curriculum committee. Describe what that is.
- A. The board curriculum committee is a

1 subcommittee of the full board that has three board 2 members on it that review all curriculum prior to submission to the full board, curriculum and 3 textbooks.

- Okay. And if you look at Item 4, Roman IV, Q. would you look that over, Rich, briefly. There's a reference there to science and family consumer science textbooks. Do you recall an issue about the family and consumer science textbooks at this time?
 - Yes, I do. Α.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Tell us what you recall about that.
- Specific board members had concerns that the teachers were recommending books that literally were the same as the prior books with the exception of a cover and maybe two or three words through the whole text, basically requesting purchase of a book that was very similar to the book that they currently had.
- And if you can, in your capacity as Q. superintendent, describe how the board approached text purchases during this period.
 - Α. Very frugally.
- And did they have a series of concerns that they looked at fairly regularly?
- Yes. They ended up, with any purchase of a book, requesting a number of items of information.

First of all, they would want to know how long the books had been used, the condition of the books, how many students would be accessing the books, how many books we ended up having, and, in relationship to that, any recommended books, the copyright date of those books, as well as the relationship to the standards.

- Q. There's a reference in that Item 4 on Exhibit 3 to the science textbooks. Did the board ask the same questions with respect to the science textbooks?
 - A. Yes, they did.
- Q. This document is dated April 15th, 2004. If we focus your attention on the spring period, did there come a time when a board member provided you with materials that related to the biology curriculum?
 - A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. And who was that?
- A. Mr. Bill Buckingham.
- Q. And what did he give you?
- A. He dropped off to my office two CDs and a book.
- MR. GILLEN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?
- THE COURT: You may.

- 1 MR. GILLEN: Thank you.
- 2 BY MR. GILLEN:

- Q. Rich, I've handed you two DVDs. I'd ask you to identify them for the record.
- 5 A. One is Icons of Evolution, and the other one 6 is Unlocking the Mystery of Life.
 - Q. Do you recognize these?
- 8 A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. What are they?
- 10 A. They're two DVDs and are reflective of what
 11 Mr. Buckingham gave me.
- Q. You've also referenced a book. Do you remember its title?
- A. No, I do not.
- Q. That's unfortunate, because I don't have it here right now. Hopefully we'll find it before your testimony is done.
- Did Mr. Buckingham say anything to you when he handed you these materials?
- 20 A. Yes. He recommended that I take a look at them.
- Q. Did you do that?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Did you do anything with them?
- 25 A. Yes.

1 Q. What did you do?

- A. I gave them to Mr. Baksa, who is in charge of curriculum. It's his area of responsibility.
- Q. Did you say anything to Mike when you handed those materials to him?
- A. I said a board member dropped these off and he probably ought to take a look at them.
- Q. Rich, I'd ask you to direct your attention to Defendants' Exhibits 6 and 14. Do you recognize those documents?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What are they?
- A. Those are the -- it's a memo from

 Dr. Peterman to myself and Mr. Baksa reviewing the textbook summary report dealing with the biology, chemistry, and family consumer science textbooks.
- Q. Okay. Now, if you compare Defendants' Exhibit 6 with Defendants' Exhibit 14, you'll note, I believe, that they're the same document in terms of typewritten text. Is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. But Defendants' Exhibit 14 has some handwritten notations on it. Correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you know what those handwritten notations

1 reflect?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- A. Yeah. Those are the copyright dates of those books.
- Q. Do you know why that information would have been added to the document which is Defendants' Exhibit 14?
- A. Yes. As stated earlier, the board would have wanted to know the copyright dates of the books.
- Q. I'd ask you to direct your attention to Defendants' Exhibit 15. And with that in mind, I'd ask you a few things. First of all, did Bill Buckingham ever speak with you personally about the materials that he had handed to you in the period up through June, 2004?
- A. No.
 - Q. Did he ever express specific concerns to you as superintendent about the biology text?
 - A. Not to me, no.
 - Q. Do you recognize Defendants' Exhibit 15?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. What is it?
- A. It's a list of concerns that Mr. Buckingham gave Mr. Baksa concerning the 2002 Miller and Levine textbook.
- Q. Did you have any discussions with Mike Baksa

1 about that?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. Beyond the fact of him telling me that he would review those concerns, no.
- Q. Did Mr. Baksa mention creationism to you when he showed you Defendants' Exhibit 15?
 - A. No.
- Q. Well, in terms of our increasingly narrow focus on the biology curriculum and biology text, do you recall any other developments in the spring of 2004 which touch on that text?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Tell me what you remember.
- A. At the first board meeting -- I believe it was June 7th -- a constituent came to the mic and asked what the status of the biology books were.
 - Q. Who would that be?
- A. Mrs. Callahan.
 - Q. And what concern did she express?
- A. She wanted to know what the status of the biology books were and why they were not purchased.
 - Q. Do you remember anything that Bill Buckingham said in response to her inquiry?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Tell us what you remember.
- A. Mr. Buckingham told her that he had concerns

1 with the book because it was laced with Darwinism.

- Q. Well, prior to this board meeting -- let me ask you first, is this the first board meeting in June?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Prior to that board meeting, had you ever heard Bill Buckingham talk about Darwinism?
 - A. Directly, no.
- Q. How about when he made this comment that the text was laced with Darwinism, did you know what he was getting at?
- A. No, based on the fact that all biology books are going to be full of Darwinian theory. And I didn't really understand his point based upon the fact that laced with Darwinism, I'm not sure about the word "laced," but all biology books are going to have Darwin in them.
- $\ensuremath{\text{Q.}}$ Do you remember anything else Mr. Buckingham said at that meeting?
 - A. No.
- Q. Do you remember anything that any other board member said at this meeting in June?
- A. No.
- Q. Up until this time now, this is June -- the first week in June, 2004, did any board member come to

1 you and discuss a desire to teach creationism?

A. No.

- Q. Up until this period, had any board member come to you and expressed a concern that the biology text was laced with Darwinism?
- A. To me directly, beyond the June 7th comment, no.
- Q. Well, indirectly, Rich, had any board member come to you and discussed a concern about being laced with Darwinism?
 - A. No, not me.
 - Q. Were you aware of them going to anybody?
- A. I was aware that Mr. Baksa was in receipt of Mr. Buckingham's Defense Exhibit 5, and apparently that references Darwinism.
- Q. Okay. But does it reference it being laced with Darwinism?
- A. No.
 - Q. I'd like you to look at Defendants' Exhibit 6 and 14. And I just want to note the date of those documents again for the record. When are they dated, Rich?
 - A. June 8th, 2004.
- Q. And looking at that period in time and looking at the text purchase cycle that you've

described, where in the process does this memo fit?

- A. It would be about the time for final budget approval and purchase after July 1.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask you, what do you remember next touching on this dispute about the biology text and curriculum? We've gone through the first board meeting.
- A. The only thing I could remember would be the next board meeting, which would be July 14th.
- Q. Okay. Tell us what you recall about that meeting.
- A. I remember the July 14th meeting had a large attendance. The board, at that time, was contemplating eliminating a high school English position, and Dr. Peterman had encouraged her staff to attend that meeting to support the position. And there were a lot of individuals there in attendance to support the English position.
- Q. Well, you've linked the attendance at the meeting to a faculty or personnel decision. Was there anything in the makeup of the crowd that led you to draw that inference?
- A. I would say close to 80 percent faculty members.
 - Q. Now, as the meeting unfolded, tell us what

1 you recall.

- A. I recall that at public comment period,
 Mrs. Buckingham came to the podium.
- Q. And do you remember anything about what she said?
- A. In a general sense, yes, I remember her reading from the Bible. And her point I never understood. In fact, I felt somewhat sympathetic with the board president because she rambled on. There was no point. And I think he kept waiting for a point so he could gavel her down, and she would pause and then continue. And to this day I have no idea what she was trying to present.
- Q. Well, let me ask you this. We've said Charlotte Buckingham. Was she the wife of Mr. Buckingham?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And was Mr. Buckingham a board member?
- A. Yes. I think probably the board president was sympathetic to the fact of a spouse of a board member on the podium.
- Q. As you sat there during this discussion, what was your personal impression with respect to what she was saying and whether it was appropriate?
 - A. On two levels, I never got what her point

was, and I'm not sure I would state a reading from the
Bible and reflecting on Genesis was appropriate.

- Q. Let me ask you, was it kind of embarrassing?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Do you remember anything else that happened at this June, 2004 meeting?
- A. A student by the name of Max Pell came and had some comments. He and Mr. Buckingham had an interchange.
- Q. Do you remember anything about that exchange?
 - A. Not specific comments, no.
- Q. Looking at the second board meeting in June, do you have any recollection of Mr. Buckingham saying the country was founded on Christianity?
- A. No. My recollection on all of
 Mr. Buckingham's religious comments were in the fall.
 The fall of 2003, we had a former board member come
 and request the board take a stand on the federal case
 on the under God pledge. And during that time period,
 a number of board members had made some comments, and
 my recollection, that Mr. Buckingham had made some
 significant religious comments. In fact, subsequent
 to that, he actually came and apologized for some of
 the comments he had made publicly.

- Q. When the second board meeting occurred in June, there was large attendance, did you connect that attendance to the comments that Mr. Buckingham had made at the prior board meeting?
 - A. No.

- Q. Why not?
- A. Again, the population, and I knew the majority of the individuals were there based on the position. And I knew historically attendance at board meetings was not reflective on comments, more items on agendas.
- Q. When you say "population," do you mean attendance at the meeting?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Are you referencing the attendance by a large number of faculty?
- 17 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Do you recall a discussion at this meeting about the need for balance?
 - A. Yes. It was at this time the board started discussing its efforts on the biology curriculum, and a number of board members had discussed their interest in looking at the biology curriculum and making sure that the biology curriculum reflected a balanced view, as well as talking about gaps and problems in the

1 Darwin theory.

- Q. While they're talking about balance, do you remember any discussion of creationism at this meeting?
 - A. No.
- Q. Do you recall any specific statements relating to the nature of the balance that was discussed at this meeting?
- A. I think they were -- there was a discussion of wanting other theories being presented. What specific other theories, I cannot remember.
- Q. Let me ask you, Rich, to direct your attention to Defendants' Exhibit twenty -- well, let's start with 8. I just want to get that in. Look at 8, if you would, Rich. Do you recognize that document?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. What is it?
- A. It's a cost analysis of what the board had put in the budget balance, meaning when they did not purchase the textbooks the prior year, they directed me and the business manager to put the unexpended funds in a fund balance for the subsequent year. And it gives the total cost of the request at 34,000, which meant we had a shortfall of 9,000, which meant that's the amount of money we needed to budget to

1 purchase all books.

- Q. Did this document come to your attention in your capacity as superintendent?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And why was that?
- A. That would be the amount of money we needed to budget for the textbook that year, textbooks that year.
- Q. If we look at this period here between the second board meeting in June and the first board meeting in July, did any other information come to your attention relating to the biology text on the part of Mr. Buckingham, for example?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Tell me what you recall.
- A. Mr. Buckingham, with Mr. Baksa, had dropped off a document requesting Of Pandas and People.
- Q. Well, before we get there, let me ask you to look at Defendants' Exhibit 22. And if you would, Rich, I'd ask you to direct your attention to the page of Exhibit 22 with the Bates Stamp Number 101 in the lower right-hand corner. There's an item there, Item 13. What does that relate to?
 - A. That's curriculum.
 - Q. And if you would, read for the record what

1 you see beneath that.

- A. 13A, approve the following textbooks for the 2004-2004 school year: Prentice Hall *Biology* by Miller and Levine, copyright 2002.
- Q. Okay. Did Mr. Baksa ever discuss with you Mr. Buckingham's objections to the text prior to putting the purchase of the text on the agenda?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what did he tell you?
- A. He had told me at that time he thought, in conversations with Mr. Buckingham, that all the items had been addressed.
- Q. I'd ask you then, Rich, to turn your attention to Defendants' Exhibit 23 and direct your attention to that page of Exhibit 23 with the Bates Number 110 in the lower right-hand corner. If you look under the item for curriculum, do you see approval of the text?
 - A. No, I do not.
 - Q. Do you know why?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Tell us.
 - A. The department chairperson, Mrs. Spahr, contacted Mr. Baksa and said that she had received over the summer an updated copyright date of the book

1 for 2004.

- Q. What was the result of that information on the text approval process?
- A. We recommended that the board table that action and not purchase a book because we had an updated book.
- Q. And was that consistent with the board's general focus on the copyright and the currency of the new text?
- A. Yes. If they could get an updated book by two years, they would be very pleased.
- Q. So there's a delay in purchasing the text at this period. Is the reason you just stated the reason for that delay?
- A. Yes. The teachers recommended, with administrative support, and the board agreed on delaying the book, a purchase of the 2002 book for the 2004.
- Q. Okay. You referenced earlier some additional review of this text, the biology text. Tell us about that.
- A. Yes. At that time period Mr. Baksa decided to bring in our senior biology teacher, Mrs. Miller, as well as Bert Spahr, to review the 2004 and 2002 textbooks, along with the original concerns that

- 1 Mr. Buckingham had as testified prior here. The 2 meeting was in my office.
 - Q. Now, you've mentioned his concerns. Did you as superintendent know the specific nature of his concerns?
 - A. No, not specifically.
 - Q. You've mentioned a meeting with Mr. Baksa and some of the science teachers. Did you participate in that meeting?
 - A. It was held in my office, and I was in and out. But as far as line by line, no.
 - Q. How about the general nature of Mr. Buckingham's concerns, did you have any understanding about the general nature of his concerns?
 - A. No.

- Q. Do you recall anything about this meeting that was held in your office?
- A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. Tell us what you recall.
- A. I recall that the biology teachers, as well
 as Mr. Baksa, were ecstatic. In fact, I remember one
 comment they made that they believed that Mr. Miller
 or Dr. Miller and Mr. Levine must have been reading
 Mr. Buckingham's mind because every one of his

1 concerns seemed to have been addressed in the 2004 edition.

- Q. Did you have a discussion later with Mr. Baksa about approval of the 2004 edition?
- A. Yes. In fact, he felt significantly more comfortable in recommending this textbook.
- Q. And tell us, you know, what happened next from your perspective relating to the text purchase.
- A. The textbook was placed on the August 2nd agenda.
- Q. With that in mind, Rich, I'd ask you to direct your attention to Defendants' Exhibit 28. Do you recognize that document, Rich?
 - A. Yes, I do.

- Q. What is it?
- A. It's the Dover Area School District board planning meeting agenda for Monday, August 2nd, 2004.
- Q. And I'd ask you to direct your attention to that portion of Defendants' Exhibit 28 with the Bates Number 116 in the lower right-hand corner and further direct your attention to Item D under Roman XII relating to curriculum. What do you see there?
- A. D, approval to order the following textbooks for the 2004-2004 school year: Prentice Hall *Biology*.
 - Q. At the time that this agenda was printed,

- 1 did you have an understanding concerning whether the 2 biology text recommended by the science faculty would, in fact, be approved by the board at this meeting? 3
 - Yes, I did. Α.
 - And what was that understanding? Q.
 - The understanding was it was going to be Α. purchased.
 - Did you attend that meeting? Q.
 - Yes. Α.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

- Do you recall developments that touched on the approval of the biology text?
- Α. Yes.
 - Tell us what you remember about that.
- 14 Mr. Baksa received a memo or at least a Α. 15 document from Mr. Buckingham stating that he also 16 wanted the Pandas book approved.
 - And with that in mind, Rich, I'd ask you to Q. direct your attention to Defendants' Exhibit 26. Do you recognize that document?
 - Α. Yes, I do.
 - Q. What is it?
- It's the aforementioned memo from Α. 23 Mr. Buckingham requesting that the following book be added to the school board meeting agenda, Of Pandas and People.

- Q. And if you look at that first paragraph, did
 Mr. Buckingham make another request with respect to
 the placement of the item on the agenda?
 - A. Yes. He requested that be placed prior to the purchasing of the Miller and Levine *Biology* book.
 - Q. Did you do anything in response to this document?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. What did you do?
- A. I contacted Mr. Buckingham and requested he come to my office and meet with myself and Mr. Baksa.
- Q. Did you have a purpose when you asked Mr. Buckingham to meet with you?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What was that purpose?
- A. My purpose was to personally tell him that he would not get my approval of purchasing the *Pandas* book and that my purpose would be to work with him a compromise concerning his specific request. He told me he understood. He did not have the six votes needed to override my recommendation. And subsequently, at the end of the meeting, we developed a compromise where he told me he would support the text.
 - Q. Okay. Let's go back a little, because

1 2

based on your objections. What do you mean by that,

3

4

5

6

Rich?

A. Pennsylvania School Board's -- actually, my apologies, Pennsylvania code states that a board needs six votes to override a superintendent's recommendation.

7

9

Q. Did you -- we're at the meeting now. Did Mr. Buckingham state his desires with respect to the text *Of Pandas* at that meeting with you?

10

A. Yes. He wanted the Pandas book purchased at the August 2nd meeting.

1213

Q. Did he express any desire with respect to the use of the text?

1415

A. Yes. He wanted the text as a companion text.

16

Q. Did you approve that request?

1718

A. No, I did not.

19

20

Q. Did you discuss with him any possible way of working with the text?

21

22

A. My recommendation was that I would subsequently discuss with him and the science teachers the possibility of having and using it as a reference.

23

Q. Did you agree to delay approval of the text recommended by the science faculty until *Of Pandas* was

1 approved?

- A. No, I did not.
- Q. We've already seen that approval of the text recommended by the faculty was on the agenda for the August 2nd meeting. What was the result of this meeting you've just described with Mr. Buckingham?
- A. The result was I told him that we would continue to put the Miller and Levine textbook on the agenda, but I promised him that after the textbook, Miller and Levine, was purchased, that I would sit down or at least have Mr. Baksa sit down with the science teachers and review the option of using the Pandas book as a reference.
- Q. Did you have an understanding of what Mr. Buckingham's position was with respect to approval of the text recommended by the science faculty at the end of this meeting?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what was that?
- A. He was going to support the purchase of the textbook.
 - Q. Did you communicate with any other board member about this meeting with Mr. Buckingham?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. Tell us who you communicated with.

- 1 I communicated with the board president at the time, who was Alan Bonsell, and he ended up -- as 2 board president would need to be aware of all of the 3 items on the agenda, and he subsequently also talked 4 5 to Mr. Buckingham.
- 6 MR. ROTHSCHILD: Objection, Your Honor. 7 Calls for hearsay.
 - MR. GILLEN: That's fine, Your Honor. I'll cut him off right there.
 - THE COURT: The objection is sustained to the extent that he started to get into hearsay.
- 12 MR. GILLEN: Sure.
- 13 THE COURT: And I'll tell you, Mr. Gillen, 14 when you get through this area of inquiry, wherever 15 you think it's an appropriate time, from now on we can 16 hit your mark and we'll take a break at that point.
- 17 MR. GILLEN: Two questions, Your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Okay.
- 19 BY MR. GILLEN:

9

10

11

21

22

24

- 20 You say that you communicated with Mr. Bonsell about the meeting. Did you have a belief concerning whether he was pleased or displeased as a 23 result of that communication?
 - A. He was very pleased.
 - Well, let me ask you. There's a back and Q.

Honor.

BY MR. GILLEN:

Q. Dr. Nilsen, before we broke, we had a discussion about your communicating Mr. Buckingham's request with respect to Mr. Bonsell, with respect to Of Pandas to Mr. Bonsell, and I want to just make sure that the record is clear on what you derived from that discussion.

There are two things that are at issue there. First, Mr. Buckingham has brought up his desire that the text *Of Pandas* be put on for approval by the board in August. Did you convey that to Mr. Bonsell?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Did you have an understanding concerning Mr. Bonsell's disposition of that request?
- A. At that time period he did not want it on the agenda.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask you about Mr. Buckingham's posture at the end of the meeting you've described with respect to the text recommended by the science faculty.

As a result of your communication with Mr. Bonsell, did you have an understanding concerning his disposition about Mr. Buckingham's position on the purchase or approval of the text recommended by the

- 1 science faculty?
- 2 A. Yes.

- Q. And what was that?
- A. Mr. Bonsell understood from me that
 Mr. Buckingham supported the textbook being on the
 agenda and being approved at the August 2nd board
 meeting.
- Q. And did you have an understanding concerning whether that was good news or bad news to Mr. Bonsell?
 - A. It was good news.
- Q. Okay. I'd ask you again to direct your attention to Defendants' Exhibit 28 and ask you, in case I haven't, do you recognize that document?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. What is it?
- A. It's the Dover Area School District school board planning meeting agenda for Monday the 2nd, 2004.
- Q. And I'd ask you to direct your attention to the page of Exhibit 28 which has the Bates Stamp

 Number 116 in the lower right-hand corner. You've already indicated that the 2004 edition of Miller and Levine is listed for approval. Is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Is Of Pandas on that agenda for approval?

- 1 A. No, it's not.
- Q. Why not?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

- A. I didn't put it there.
 - Q. And why didn't you put it there?
 - A. Because I did not recommend it.
- Q. As you sit here today, do you have any recollection of the events at the August 2nd, 2004 board meeting?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And if we focus your attention on recollection that bears on the approval of the biology text, tell us what you recall.
- A. I recall that it ended up in a four-four tie for approval.
- Q. Be a little more specific for the record. A four-four tie with respect to approval of what?
 - A. Approval of the textbook. Four people voted in favor of purchasing the textbook and four voted against approval.
 - Q. Well, do you recall any discussion prior to that tie vote?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did you do anything in response to the tie vote?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Tell us what you did.
- 2 I directed my comments to the individuals Α. 3 that had voted against the vote and communicated them 4 my displeasure on the vote that they had taken and the 5 fact that if we did not purchase the book at that time 6 period, we would begin the school year without a 7 current book. And if we had purchased the book subsequent to that, it would amount to the fact that 8 9 our teachers would have two different textbooks during 10 the school year, as well as our students would have 11 two different textbooks during the school year, as 12 well as we would have a book that would not reflect 13 the state standards. Our teachers were, at that time, 14 teaching very specifically the state standards.
 - Q. Well, did your observation produce a reaction on the part of any board member?
 - A. Yes, it did.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Tell us what reaction.
- A. Angie Yingling made the comment, Well, in that case, let's give the teachers and the students what they need, and she requested a re-vote.
 - Q. What happened next?
- A. She got a re-vote, and the board adopted five-three for approval and purchase of the book.
 - Q. Now, when this vote took place, was there

- 1 any discussion of creationism?
- 2 A. No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

20

21

22

- Q. When Angie Yingling decided to switch her vote, did she mention creationism?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did any board member have a discussion about the curriculum and creationism at that time?
 - A. No.
- Q. I'd ask you to look at Defendants' Exhibit
- 30. Do you recognize that document, Rich?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. What is it?
- 13 A. It's a document I requested the assistant

 14 superintendent's secretary to author. The assistant

 15 superintendent at this time period was on vacation,

 16 and I asked her to send a the exhibit memo to the

 17 board curriculum subcommittee, including the president

 18 and the high school principal, the senior biology

 19 teacher, and the department chairperson.
 - Q. Okay. And you're referring now to the Defendants' Exhibit 30, which is a memo from you to certain board members and faculty?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And let me ask you, was curriculum ordinarily within the area of your responsibility?

1 A. No, it was not.

- Q. Well, then why did you do this?
- A. As stated earlier, Mr. Baksa was on vacation.
- Q. Okay. And given that Mr. Baksa was on vacation, still, why did you send this specific memo? Did it have any connection to your discussions with Mr. Buckingham?
- A. Yes. In my discussion with Mr. Buckingham in July, when I told him that I would not support the purchase of Of Pandas and People as a textbook, the understanding I had with him when we left that July meeting is the fact that we would purchase the Miller and Levine book and then hold a conversation and a discussion with the science teachers on what we would do with the Of Pandas and People book.
- Q. Well, the memorandum calls for a meeting on August 27th, 2004. Did that meeting take place?
 - A. Yes, it did.
 - Q. Who was there?
- A. The individuals listed, the board members,

 Mrs. Brown, Mr. Buckingham, Mrs. Harkins, Mr. Bonsell,

 Mrs. Miller -- I do not remember whether Mr. Riedel

 was there or not -- Mrs. Spahr, Mr. Baksa, and myself.
 - Q. Do you recall any discussions with the

1 teachers about *Of Pandas* at this meeting?

A. Yes.

- Q. Tell us what you recall.
- A. The teachers, in a compromise, accepted the fact that they would use the -- and agreed that they would use the *Pandas* book as a reference in their classroom.
- Q. Did they have some concerns they expressed at this meeting about Of Pandas?
- A. They had voiced concerns that the textbook was dated. The textbook had some faulty science included in it.
- Q. How about anything else? Did they express any concern for their personal -- what should I say, any personal consequences of the use of this text?
- A. They had voiced a concern with liability with the book.
- Q. Did you do anything to try and allay that concern?
- A. Yes. I brought to the meeting a memo from our solicitor that had researched if there was any case law on the usage of the book.
 - Q. Did you give that to Jen Miller?
 - A. I gave it to everybody in the meeting.
- Q. Do you remember anything else about this

- August 27th meeting in terms of consequences that were looked forward to?
 - A. Yes. The other issue that came out of that meeting is the fact that Mr. Baksa would begin work on updating the biology curriculum.
 - Q. How about Mr. Buckingham? You said there was a discussion of using *Of Pandas* as a reference. Was Mr. Buckingham satisfied with that?
 - A. No, he was not.

- Q. What did he want?
- A. He wanted the book used as a textbook, companion book, to be right next to the Miller and Levine book.
- Q. Okay. We're looking now at a meeting on August 27, 2004. Was there any discussion about using public money to purchase this book?
- A. There was a general conversation about Mr. Buckingham wanting to use budget money. But as far as a specific directive on using that, I don't think there was any specific outcome on that.
- Q. Okay. Did you come away from this meeting with an understanding concerning whether other board members supported the use of public funds to purchase this book?
 - A. There were board members that did not

support using public funds, and there were some that did.

- Q. Okay. Let me ask you this. Did you later on have a conversation about a way to incorporate Of Pandas as a reference text that wouldn't entail the use of public funds?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And tell us what happened there.
- A. The board president at the time, Mr. Alan Bonsell, communicated to me that he had been contacted about individuals that were willing to donate the finances to purchase 60 copies of *Of Pandas and People*.
- Q. Did he tell you who was donating the books at that time?
- A. No.
- Q. Did you ask?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Why not?
 - A. Dover is much like many schools, financially strapped, and anytime anybody wants to provide free educational and appropriate materials, we'll accept them.
 - Q. Has there come a time after this particular incident where other books have been donated to the

- Dover Area School District?
- 2 A. Yes.

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Did you accept those books?
- 4 A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you ask who sent them?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Why not?
- 8 A. Similar reasons.
 - Q. As you leave this August 27, 2004 meeting, are there any developments that bear on the curriculum?
 - A. At the time period Mr. Baksa is working with the individuals in the board curriculum subcommittee and teachers on a revised biology curriculum.
 - Q. Did you have detailed discussions with Mike Baksa about that?
 - A. Not detailed, but general updates.
 - Q. Did there come a time when Mr. Baksa communicated to you some input he had received from board members with respect to a proposed curriculum change?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. With that in mind, Rich, I'd ask you to look
 at Defendants' Exhibit 45. Do you recognize that
 document?

1 A. Yes, I do.

- Q. What is it?
- A. It's a memo to Mr. Baksa from Mrs. Brown entitled, Curriculum Committee Member.
 - Q. Were you shown this document?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you derive any impression from the document with respect to the position of Casey Brown on the proposed curriculum change?
- A. Yes. Mrs. Brown, while she was on the board, was one of our better wordsmiths and had a good opportunity and a good ability to combine concerns and thoughts in a very positive way, and it appeared in either one of her recommendations here that she had addressed all the concerns that the board had had concerning the biology curriculum.
- Q. Okay. And you've referenced those concerns, and I want to ask you, Rich, as you look at this document, did you have an impression concerning whether it related to anything that you had heard at board meetings in this June, July, and August period?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What sort of impression did you have?
- A. The board was specifically concerned about making sure in the biology curriculum that it had

1 stated that students were made aware of gaps in 2 Darwin's theory, as well as that there were other explanations of the origins of life on earth. 3

- And in terms of the language that Mrs. Brown used to express those gaps, did you have any -- or those goals, did you have any understanding concerning whether this language might address the board's concerns?
- Α. Yes. I had the feeling that it had addressed all their concerns.
- In terms of your goal leaving that Q. August 27th meeting, did you have an impression concerning whether Mr. Baksa was making progress?
- Yes. I thought that these two sentences Α. actually should have addressed all the issues and we had completion.
- Okay. And let me ask you, look at those Q. issues. Just look at the first version that she proposed. How did you see the issues at this time?
- Again, the issues dealing with gaps and recommending other explanations for origins of life.
- Did you do anything in response to this Q.. 23 document?
 - Α. No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Did you ask Mr. Baksa to do anything in Q.

1 response to this document?

A. No.

- Q. Did you have an understanding concerning what Mr. Baksa's continued activity would be in this area?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What was that?
- A. He would continue as the curriculum coordinator, director, to continue to fine-tune and get consensus on the statements.
- Q. With that in mind, Rich, if you'd look at Defendants' Exhibit 48. And, again, I'd ask you to direct your attention to the page of Exhibit 48 that has the Bates Number 135 stamped in the lower right-hand corner. If you look at the Item 13 there under Curriculum, you'll see an FYI. What's that?
- A. It reads, FYI, the superintendent has approved the donation of two classroom sets, 25 each, of *Pandas and People*. Classroom sets will be used as references and will be made available to all students.
- Q. Okay. Now, the document describes the text as a reference. Was there anything from the August 27th, 2004 meeting with the board curriculum committee and science faculty that justified that description?

- A. Yes. The faculty had agreed that they would use it as a reference.
 - Q. I note that this has you in the position of approving the donation of the text. Can you approve the purchase of texts?
 - A. I cannot approve purchase of texts.
 - Q. Likewise, the biology text had to be approved by the board in August. My question to you is, how did you have the authority to accept this book?
 - A. I'm allowed, under state code, to accept reference materials.
 - Q. At the time that you accepted this text, Of Pandas, did you have any understanding about what the text contained?
 - A. No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Well, let me ask you, had there been discussion of the text at the August 27th meeting?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Had any board member made objections to the text as such?
- A. No.
- Q. How about the science faculty, did they voice specific criticisms?
- A. Again, there was the criticism about dated

- science. But beyond that and the readability level, no.
 - Q. What do you mean by "readability level"?
 - A. They had concerns that the text read at a freshman college level.
 - Q. Okay. In terms of the approval of the donation, did you see that as having any implications for the curriculum?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Tell us what you understood at that time.
- A. It would end up being a reference that the teachers would make mention of.
 - Q. Okay. Did you -- looking at the approval of the text now and looking forward to the next meeting in October, did you get any communications from Mr. Buckingham relating to the curriculum?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Tell us what they were.
 - A. Mr. Baksa communicated to me that

 Mr. Buckingham wanted to place on the October 18th

 agenda the board subcommittee curriculum

 recommendation for the biology.
 - Q. Did you talk to Mr. Baksa about Mr. Buckingham's request?
 - A. Yes.

1 Q. And what did you say?

- A. At that time I reviewed the recommendation and then contacted Mr. Buckingham.
 - Q. Okay. We've been talking about consensus-building, or trying to, at least, and now Mr. Buckingham has given you this call. Did you have any concerns?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What were they?
 - A. My concern, first of all, was the fact that the final recommendation did not have the full support of either the curriculum committee or the teachers.
 - Q. And did you talk to Mr. Baksa about that?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you learn anything about other proposed changes to the curriculum?
- A. Yes. He had an additional recommendation coming from the faculty.
 - Q. When Mr. Buckingham called and asked you to put the board curriculum committee version on the agenda for the August 18th -- October 18th meeting, did you voice any objections?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What were they?
- A. My first objection was that any final

recommendation going to the board, I would recommend going through the community advisory council for one last review. I also objected to it being on the last board meeting in October as an action item because historically what we've done is had an item on the planning session or at least one meeting and then the final action on a curriculum at the second meeting.

- Q. Did Mr. Buckingham respond to the concern you've just referenced for, you know, usually putting things on two board -- having things as items in two board meetings?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And what did he say?
- A. He, first of all, said that he had already received and the board had already received enough input over the past six months that they had -- that this had actually begun with dropping off the DVDs to me in the fall, and we have had numerous board meetings, conversations and input from many different board members and community members and the fact that he was interested in acting upon it.

He also voiced a concern that two of the board members that had been involved in the six months of conversation, Mr. Noel Wenrich and Jane Cleaver, were moving out of the area and would not be part of

1 the vote if we delayed.

- Q. Well, did he say anything further about that, the possibility of two board members resigning?
- A. Yes. His concern was the fact that if two board members resigned, two new board members, when they would come on the board, would not know what was going on and would either not vote or would not have the capacity to vote and therefore would request delaying the vote.
- Q. Did you get an impression for whether Mr. Buckingham was seeking some closure here?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what was that?
- A. I think Mr. Buckingham was looking for finalizing the work that had been done over the past six months.
- Q. You said also that you mentioned the curriculum advisory committee to Mr. Buckingham. Does the Dover Area School District policy require review by the curriculum advisory committee prior to a curriculum change?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did Mr. Buckingham respond to your suggestion that you let them have input?
 - A. Yes, he responded.

Q. What did he say?

- A. He said he did not need to have them meet anymore.
- Q. Well, let's look at -- what did you do in response to that? Did you send the board curriculum version to the curriculum advisory committee?
- A. What I did was, I contacted Mr. Baksa, who is the chairperson of the committee, and communicated to him that we would still send that information to that committee for them to review it, that even though they would not be meeting, they would at least have an understanding of what was happening.

Mr. Buckingham is one board member and not the whole board. And the board acts in general in total, so I took it upon myself to reflect what the board would be interested in, and that would be specifically as much input as possible. So I directed Mr. Baksa to send, I believe, both copies to the committee to review.

- Q. Did you put the board curriculum committee version on the agenda for the October 18th meeting?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Was that the only version you put on the agenda?
- A. No, I did not.

- Q. Did Mr. Buckingham tell you to put the other version on the --
 - A. No, he did not.
 - Q. Why did you do it?
 - A. Again, I thought the board needed to know everything that was going on, and I thought it important for the board to know Mr. Baksa and my stance, that we administratively did not recommend Mr. Buckingham's recommendation, that we actually supported the teachers.
 - Q. Do you know if there was any feedback provided by the curriculum advisory council in response to your directive?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. All right. With that in mind, I'd ask you to look at Defendants' Exhibit 67. Do you recognize that document?
- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Before we get to that, I skipped one, it looks like. Would you go back to 51. Do you recognize that document, Rich?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. What is it?
- A. It's a memorandum from Mr. Baksa to the curriculum advisory council dealing with the biology

1 curriculum recommendation.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. And now go back to 67. That describes comments on proposed biology curriculum changes.

 There are two items there. Did you discuss those with Mr. Baksa?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What was the nature of your discussion?
- A. The first one states, According to policy, the curriculum advisory committee should review changes first before going to the board. That was a red flag to us, so we ended up researching whether that was true or not.
 - Q. And --
- THE COURT: Who is this from, just so I understand?
- MR. GILLEN: Yes.
- 17 THE COURT: Who is the author of 67?
- MR. GILLEN: 67 was authored by Mr. Baksa in
- response to Dr. Nilsen's --
- 20 THE COURT: All right. I just wanted that clarification. If you said it, I didn't get it.
- MR. GILLEN: No problem, Judge.
- BY MR. GILLEN:
- Q. Go ahead, Rich, please tell us. I mean, that first item suggested there's been a departure

1 from policy. Did you look into that?

- A. Yes, we did. Tom Schaffer, who is the assistant principal in charge of policy, researched our current policy and all prior policies in relationship to that comment.
- Q. Did you gain an understanding concerning whether the district policy required review by the advisory committee?
 - A. Yes, we did.
 - Q. And what was that?
- 11 A. It did not.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

- 12 Q. Okay. I'd ask you to look at Exhibit 71.
- Do you recognize that document, Rich?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. What is it?
- A. It's the August 2nd, 2004 curriculum development policy.
- 18 Q. Is that the policy you looked at in response to this concern?
 - A. One of, yes.
- Q. Okay. I'd ask you -- skip through these.

 Excuse me a second. As we get to this meeting, I want
 to ask you one question again. Did you put one or two
 versions of the curriculum change on the agenda for
 October 18th?

1 Α. I put two.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- And as we approach that meeting, I want to get a few documents identified here, and I'd ask you to go to Exhibit 60.
 - I'm sorry, again, please? Α.
- Exhibit 60. Do you recognize that document, Q. 7 Rich?
 - Yes, I do. Α.
 - What is it? Ο.
- 10 It's the enclosure 11A for the board agenda. Α. 11 It's the recommendation from the -- dealing with the 12 biology curriculum from the board.
 - And when you say "from the board," do you Q. mean the board curriculum committee, to be more precise?
 - Α. That is correct.
 - And if you look at the first page of Exhibit 60, if you look in the lower right-hand corner, there's a reference to an enclosure, Roman XI-A. Correct?
 - Α. That's correct.
 - And I'd ask you to flip to the next page of Exhibit 60. There's an attached page with a Bates Stamp Number 18, and I'd ask you to look at that. Do you recognize that?

- 1 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. What is it?
- A. That is the board subcommittee

 recommendation for the biology curriculum change.
 - Q. I'd ask you next, Rich, to look at Exhibit
 61. Do you recognize that document?
 - A. Yes, I do.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

20

21

- Q. What is it?
 - A. It's the board curriculum recommendation from the administration and staff.
- Q. Okay. And if you look at that document, you'll see on the page Bates stamped Number 19 a reference to an enclosure, Roman XI-B. Correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. I'd ask you to flip the page and look at the document with the Bates Stamp Number 20 that is part of Exhibit 61. Do you recognize that document?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. What is it?
 - A. It's the administration and faculty recommendation for the biology change.
- Q. Did you receive these documents in your capacity as superintendent?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Did you have an understanding concerning the

difference between Exhibit 60 and Exhibit 61, the proposed curriculum changes that were attached?

A. Yes, I did.

- Q. What were the differences as you saw them?
- A. Two. Under the second column, it notes on the board recommendation but not the administration and teacher recommendation intelligent design, and under the materials and resources under the board recommendation and not the teachers and administration Of Pandas and People as a reference.
- Q. And just to make sure the record is clear and that we get the point across, is it true that the board curriculum committee version references Of Pandas as a reference text?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And does the staff and administration recommendation do that?
 - A. No.
- Q. Okay. Is it true that the board curriculum committee version references intelligent design?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And is it true that the staff and administration version Roman XI-B does not?
- A. That is correct.
 - Q. Was it your understanding that those were

the points of divergence at that time between the two versions?

A. Yes.

Q. Learning that there were two versions circulating at this time, did you do anything?

A. Yes.

Q. What was that?

A. I contacted the board president, Mr. Alan Bonsell, and told him that there were two items on the agenda, there were two recommendations coming dealing with the biology curriculum.

2. And why did you contact him?

A. As board president, it's my responsibility to communicate to him issues that may rise on the board agendas.

Q. Looking at the way he approached this process, was there anything that you saw that he would want that was inconsistent with these two versions?

By that I mean, you've got two versions. Knowing

Mr. Bonsell as board president, would he want

disagreement or consensus?

MR. ROTHSCHILD: Objection. Calls for speculation.

MR. GILLEN: I can ask for his belief concerning what Mr. Bonsell would regard as desirable

as the outcome of a collaborative process.

THE COURT: Well, the way you framed the question, it was sort of in the alternative, would be want disagreement or consensus. You can ask him to characterize his understanding of Mr. Bonsell's reaction, but I think the --

MR. ROTHSCHILD: It's leading as well, Your Honor. Disagreement or consensus I think is --

THE COURT: Well, we'll allow a little bit of leading, Mr. Rothschild, or we'll never finish this trial. So I'll overrule the objection on that basis.

I'll sustain it. You can rephrase.

MR. GILLEN: I do understand, Your Honor. BY MR. GILLEN:

- Q. Rich, in your capacity as superintendent, did you have an understanding concerning the way

 Mr. Bonsell would view this state of affairs, namely, that for the proposed curriculum change, there are two rival versions being offered instead of one?
- A. Yes. Mr. Bonsell always had a premium on consensus. He, throughout this process and almost every process that we were involved in in the school, the building project on through, spent a considerable amount of time and effort making sure that everybody agreed or at least compromised on an issue.

- Q. Did you do anything as a result of your discussion with Mr. Bonsell?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. What did you do?
- A. Mr. Bonsell requested that I meet with the senior biology teacher, Jen Miller, to see if I could generate from her consensus.
 - Q. And did you do that?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Did you have a discussion with Jen Miller about this issue?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. Tell us what you said.
- A. I met Jen Miller, because she was a senior biology teacher, and discussed both of the proposals and the issue dealing with the divergent issues and communicated to her that Mr. Bonsell had recommended a note, specifically that origins of life will not be taught, because he thought that would address the concerns that the teachers continually had about teaching the origins of life. And we also discussed at length the placement of the *Panda* book.

MR. ROTHSCHILD: Objection, Your Honor. I think it's hearsay. It's not clear from Dr. Nilsen's testimony whether he's repeating exactly what he said

- fears that the teachers had that if they mentioned intelligent design, they would be liable.
 - Q. And did you have an understanding concerning how the note was supposed to do that?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. What was that understanding?
- A. The understanding was that the note would reinforce the fact that the teachers did not and will not teach the origins of life.
- Q. And how does that relate, in turn, to the subject that's at issue here, intelligent design?
- A. The teachers believed that the intelligent design referenced the origins of life.
- Q. Did you discuss anything else with Mrs. Miller that touched on the differences between the proposed curriculum changes?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What?
 - A. The placement of the Pandas book.
 - Q. And what was the issue there?
- A. In the August meeting, the faculty had agreed on the compromise of having the *Pandas* book as a reference in the individual classroom, and we talked about the implementation of that.
 - And specifically, she asked me where and how

she was to bring that book into the classroom. And I ended up telling her that it should be on the shelves. And then she communicated to me that she couldn't do that and have the students see it, because all of her shelves had doors on them. And then I ended up saying, well, then place it on the tables. And then she referenced the fact that that would be intrusive to her instruction.

- Q. Well, let me ask you in terms of the listing of *Of Pandas* on the curriculum, did you discuss that with Mrs. Miller?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. What did you tell her?
- A. I told her specifically that I had recommended placing that there so if in the future and currently if the teachers had referenced that, that their liability would be covered because it was a board action.

There is case law or at least directive that if a board directs a superintendent and/or teachers to do something, that they're under the cover of direction. And in this case, I thought putting the reference Of Pandas and People on the right-hand side would protect the teachers currently and in the future if they made that reference.

- Q. Did you ask Mr. Baksa to do anything as a result of the fact that two rival versions were created and circulating?
 - A. Yes. The outcome of the meeting with Mrs. Miller was inconclusive, so I requested him, after I communicated that it was an inconclusive meeting, he then spent some time trying to develop a compromised version.
 - Q. Did there come a time when you had reason to believe that Mr. Baksa had been successful?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. I'd ask you to look at Exhibit 68. Do you recognize that document, Rich?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. What is it?
 - A. It's the -- attached is the second draft of the recommended changes to the biology curriculum from the administration and staff.
 - Q. And did you understand that this document was a result of further collaboration with the staff?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you have an understanding concerning the nature of the compromise that was offered here?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. I'd ask you to direct your -- well, for the

- record, I'd say first that Exhibit 68 references an enclosure, Roman XI-C. Correct?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And the following page, which is Bates stamped 22, is attached. Correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Is this the document that Mr. Baksa showed you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did he tell you anything when he showed it to you?
- A. He told me that he had -
 MR. ROTHSCHILD: Objection, Your Honor.

 Calls for hearsay.

MR. GILLEN: Your Honor, if I can ask him -I can ask him questions concerning what someone said
if it's for the purpose of establishing what he
believed. That's what I've done. I'm not offering
Mr. Baksa's statement for the truth of the matter
asserted. That's something you'll have to find. But
I'm asking Rich what Mr. Baksa told him about this
document for the purpose of getting his understanding.

THE COURT: Well, he can say what his understanding is. Why doesn't it go to the truth? I think it does. We're talking about the emergence of a

as a compromise.

- Q. Okay. Looking at that document, did you have an understanding concerning the elements of the compromise reflected in the document?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And what were they?
- A. Two things. One, under the first two columns, Note, the origins of life is not taught. And, secondly, under materials and resources, it references Of Pandas and People.
- Q. Okay. I'd also ask you to direct your attention to the second column of the page under the heading, Unit Content, Concepts and Process. Further direct your attention to the language at the bottom-most portion of that column. Would you look at that, Rich?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you have an understanding concerning whether that proposed language reflected an effort to compromise?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What was your understanding?
- A. Intelligent design was taken out.
- Q. Okay. Now, if we look at this document,

 Roman XI-C, and consider that in comparison to the

 board curriculum committee's proposed version, which

- is Roman XI-A, did you have an understanding concerning how they diverged?
 - A. I believe two words very specifically, intelligent design.
 - Q. Well, you've said those two words, and let me ask you also to direct your attention to the note that is on Roman XI-C.
 - A. Yes. And the note on C specifically states, Note, the origins of life is not taught.
 - Q. Are these the three versions of the curriculum change that were at issue as we enter the October 18th meeting?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. With that in mind, Rich, I'd ask you to direct your attention to Defendants' Exhibit 63. Do you recognize that document?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. What is it?
 - A. It's the October 18th, 2004 Dover Area School District board agenda.
 - Q. And I'd ask you to direct your attention to that portion of Exhibit 63 which has the Bates Stamp Number 145 in the lower right-hand corner. Do you have that, Rich?
 - A. Yes, I do.

- Q. If you'd look at the agenda item curriculum.

 I notice that there are two of the versions we've

 discussed listed. Which are they?
 - A. A and B.

- Q. That means that Roman XI-C is missing. Can you tell me why?
- A. The C version was developed after the agenda was printed. We print the agenda on Wednesday prior to and submit to the board five days in advance, and C was developed after the board got the agenda.
- Q. Under that item there is further background information. Would you read that for the record?
- A. Background information, copies of the changes have been sent to the district curriculum advisory committee and the science department.
 - Q. Is that true?
 - A. Yes, it was.
- Q. As we enter the October 18th meeting, let me ask you if you discussed these different versions of the proposed curriculum change with the board.
- A. Yes. Prior to the meeting, we were in executive session to meet the new high school assistant principal, and at the end of the meeting, on the way to the board meeting, I handed the board all three copies -- they had not yet received C -- just to

- make sure that they had in their possession all three copies prior to the discussion.
 - Q. Do you recall what you told them?
 - A. I reiterated at that time period the recommendation, what was the covered section, who had recommended what and why.
 - Q. Okay. Removing from the executive session to the public portion of the board meeting that night, do you remember anything about that public portion of the meeting?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

- Q. Tell us what you remember.
- A. Mrs. Bert Spahr had attended the meeting and gave comments during the public comment period.
 - Q. And do you remember a reaction by any board member to the comments?
 - A. Yes. Mr. Buckingham asked her where she had gotten her law degree.
 - Q. And why did Mr. Buckingham say that?

 MR. ROTHSCHILD: Objection, Your Honor.
- 21 Calls for speculation.
- BY MR. GILLEN:
 - Q. Well, did you have a sense or belief as to why he uttered that comment?
- MR. ROTHSCHILD: That still calls for

1 speculation, Your Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

MR. GILLEN: He can give his impression or belief. That's what a lay witness does frequently.

THE COURT: Well, the objection to the first question is sustained. He doesn't know why. Why can't he ask him what his impression was?

MR. ROTHSCHILD: I'll withdraw my objection.

THE COURT: All right. That objection is overruled. He can answer the question.

THE WITNESS: During the public comment period of Mrs. Spahr, she referenced that intelligent design was creationism and that intelligent design was illegal.

BY MR. GILLEN:

- And did Mr. Buckingham agree with her? Q.
- Α. No, he did not.
- 17 MR. ROTHSCHILD: Objection, Your Honor. 18
- Calling for speculation.
- 19 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.
- 20 BY MR. GILLEN:
 - Do you believe that Mr. Buckingham agreed with her?
- 23 MR. ROTHSCHILD: Objection, Your Honor. Ιt 24 calls for speculation. If Mr. Buckingham said 25 something that provided his understanding, that would

- 1 serve as a basis, but this is really --
- THE COURT: Is an acceptable question, what
- 3 was your impression as to Mr. Buckingham's response?
- 4 MR. ROTHSCHILD: I think your suggestion
- 5 might cure it.
- THE COURT: Answer that question.
- 7 MR. GILLEN: Thanks, Judge.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Am I allowed to ask her to
- 9 reread that question, Your Honor?
- THE COURT: Yes. Read that back.
- 11 (Previous question read back.)
- 12 THE WITNESS: My impression of
- Mr. Buckingham's response was the fact that he wanted
- 14 to know where she got her law degree.
- 15 BY MR. GILLEN:
- Q. Good enough. Let me ask you as
- 17 | superintendent, without going into the details, did
- 18 you have reason to believe that you were recommending
- an unlawful course of action to the board on the night
- of the October 18th meeting?
- A. Did I have reason to believe that?
- 22 Q. Yes.
- A. I had reason to believe we were not.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask you, when the board came
- 25 up -- board curriculum items came up for a vote, was

1 there discussion of that issue?

A. Yes.

- Q. And despite that claim that it would be illegal, was the board curriculum committee -- did the board approve a curriculum change?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have an understanding concerning whether the board believed it was engaged in an unlawful course of conduct?
- A. I have a reason to believe that the board did not think they were involved in an illegal activity.
- Q. Okay. Let's talk about the process that resulted when these rival versions came up for a vote.

 Do you remember anything about that?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Tell me what you recall.
- A. I recall that it was moved and that through a series of amendments, individuals tried to delay the approval of that, of the biology curriculum.
 - Q. Well, as the voting started, did you make any comments?
- A. At the beginning of the discussion of the curriculum, I made sure that all the board members understood what the three options were, who supported

which options, and what the difference was in all

2 three options.

- Q. In terms of support for the various options that were circulating at this time, did you make a statement with respect to the administration's position?
- A. Yes. I specifically stated that Item C was the administrative recommendation, and, in fact, since Mr. Baksa was in charge of the curriculum, I requested he to come also to the podium and reiterate his support, as well.
 - Q. And why did you take that position?
- A. It was the administrative feeling that for a successful implementation of the curriculum, we needed the teachers to buy into the program and change.
- Q. How about in terms of the relationship between the various versions, did you think that Roman XI-C did a good job addressing board goals?
- A. It was also our understanding, if I may answer it this way, that over the past six months, each of the concerns that we had heard from every board member from presenting gaps, presenting recommendation of using *Pandas* as a reference, as well as the origins of life will not be taught, that C covered all of the concerns that had been voiced over

1 the past six months.

- Q. Well, did you support the compromised version because you believed that intelligent design was religion?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did you have any understanding as to whether intelligent design was religion or science at this time?
- A. In a general sense, we had a report from our solicitor telling us that he had researched it and found no case law indicating either way.
- Q. All right. Let me ask if you had derived any impression concerning whether intelligent design was science from your conversations with the science faculty.
- A. Over the conversations that I have had with the board over the -- at that time and prior, it had been conveyed to me that there were over 300 individuals, scientists, specifically, that had supported it. There was a university professor from Lehigh that had done a lot of the foundation work associated with it, and the science teachers had approved the compromise of having the Of Pandas and People book as a reference.
 - Q. If you look at that compromised version

1 2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

describe your understanding concerning the elements of

3

concerns you had heard from this period June through

October of 2004 which you saw reflected in that 4

5 document.

- Α. The first concern is the fact of the origins of life will not be taught. That was placed in there. The other concern is the fact of making students aware of the gaps and problems, and also the other concern of other theories of evolution, as well as the concern of referencing Of Pandas and People.
- Okay. And just to make sure we're clear on this, you supported the reference, the listing of Pandas as a reference. Correct?
 - Α. Yes.
 - Q. And what was your reason?
- In support of a board request that we end up Α. having that as a reference material.
- How about with respect to teachers' expressed concerns?
- Specifically, again, reiterating past Α. testimony, I thought that provided an opportunity for the teachers to follow a board directive and provided coverage for their liability concerns.
 - Q. Do you remember any discussion of board

1 members before the voting started?

A. Yes.

- Q. Tell me what you recall.
- A. A minority of the board wanted to postpone the adoption and send it back to various committees for continued review.
- Q. Let me ask you to look at Defendants'
 Exhibit 64 and further, Rich, to direct your attention
 to the page with Bates Stamp Number 158.
 - A. I have that.
- Q. Okay. Now, as we look at the voting process, you mentioned that some people wanted to delay the vote. Do you recall the votes taking place?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you have a sense for the purpose of the various votes?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What was your understanding?
- A. My understanding was the fact that some board members still were not satisfied with the status of the recommendation and wanted to revisit it.
 - Q. And how about the remainder of the board?
- A. My understanding of the remainder of the board is the fact that at that time they wanted to end the conversation, had gathered enough information, and

- 1 were prepared to act that evening.
- Q. All right. As the voting starts, there were three versions of the proposed curriculum change that were at issue. Correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Was the final version any one of those?
 - A. No.

6

7

8

15

16

- Q. What was the final version?
- 9 A. The final version was board recommendation A
 10 with the note, origins of life will not be taught as a
 11 note.
- 12 Q. How did that come to pass?
- A. The board president at the time, Mr. Alan
 Bonsell, made that recommendation.
 - Q. And you say "recommendation." Did he make a motion?
 - A. Yes, he made a motion.
- Q. Was that motion seconded by anyone?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall who seconded his motion?
- 21 A. Yes, Mr. Brown.
- Q. Did you have an understanding concerning the purpose of Mr. Bonsell's motion?
- A. Yes. He was concerned and continually hearing the teachers' concern that they thought they

- 1 origins of life.
- Q. Okay. You said that the motion was seconded by another board member?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And did that motion eventually come up for a vote?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. And was it that vote that produced the final version of the curriculum change?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. During this meeting, was there any discussion by any board member about their desire or any desire to teach creationism?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. Did the term come up?
- 16 A. No.
- Q. On the part of any board member, at least.

 Did any board member reference that?
- A. My prior answer referred to board members, and the answer is no board members.
- Q. Okay. How about from members of the public,
 were there assertions involving creationism?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall any of those?
- A. Minimally. I believe Mrs. Bert Spahr had

1 made comments.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- And what was the thrust of her comment? How Q. did you understand what she said?
- I understood that she identified intelligent design with creationism.
- Q. Okay. As the voting progressed, were there any other developments that produced a controversy in the aftermath of the board meeting?
 - Α. Yes.
 - Q. What were they?
- A. During that time period, the discussion addressed the fact that we had a recommendation from our solicitor that the liable concern with the board and the teachers was not an issue.
- Q. Okay. And without going into that, you've 16 said "liable." Do you mean liability?
- 17 Α. Yes.
 - Q. And were any statements made in response to that?
 - A. Yes. When the individual mentioned, well -or another board member said, well, what if they are wrong? Ms. Geesey then communicated, well, we'll then fire them.
 - O. And was there a reaction to that statement?
 - A. Yes. There was a muffled gasp.

- Q. When she made that statement, what was your understanding of her point?
 - A. My understanding of her point, and continues to be my understanding of her point, is the fact that if she believed that the solicitor gave us bad information, that that was reason for reviewing the contract.
 - Q. And I believe you said "if" he did. Correct?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Did Mrs. Geesey ever ask you to do anything as a result of the controversy surrounding her comment?
- A. Yes. The next morning the paper reported that she had recommended firing the teachers. And she immediately contacted me and told me that that was obviously not what she had said, and I agreed with her, and she did two things.

One, she sent me an e-mail explaining her position and asked me to forward that throughout all the teachers stating on her behalf that -- or, in her words, that that was not what she had intended and, in fact, that she had liked all the teachers and supported the teachers.

Secondly, to prove that that was not what

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

No.

Q. And why was that?

1 A. By recommendation of counsel.

- Q. Okay. And we were not your counsel at the time of that recommendation?
 - A. No. It was our school counsel.
 - Q. Okay. Very good. You were well advised. Let me ask you, is that tape complete?
 - A. This tape is complete, but the taping of the board meeting is not.
 - Q. And I understand what you're getting at, but the Judge might not, so let's go through that again.

 I understand that's all the tape we have?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Does the tape record the whole meeting?
 - A. The tape does not cover the whole meeting. The background of that is the fact that at this time our business manager and board secretary was battling cancer and was on medical leave, and her secretary had filled in as the acting secretary over that time period.

But at this meeting, her son had a wrestling match, and she was absent, so a third secretary filled in at that time period. And when I requested for the verbatim transcript to be developed, he communicated to me that when he had taken the first tape out to put a second tape in, that he had paused the tape, and

when he had hit play, he had not unpaused the tape, so the second half of the meeting was not recorded.

- Q. Did you ever act on Ms. Geesey's request for a transcript?
 - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. With that in mind, I'd ask you to look at Defendants' Exhibit 153.
 - A. I'm sorry, what number?
 - Q. 153. Do you recognize that document, Rich?
- 10 A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. What is it?
- 12 A. It's the verbatim transcript from the tape 13 dealing with the curriculum section.
 - Q. And does that transcript reflect the whole of the board meeting discussions relating to the curriculum change?
 - A. No.
 - MR. ROTHSCHILD: Your Honor, I'm not sure this is an objection or a clarification, but my understanding is that this is not a full verbatim transcript of what was actually on the tape, that there's actually more on the tape. We've had some back and forth.
- MR. GILLEN: And I couldn't agree more.

 It's a partial transcript, and I'll make that clear if

So as Mr. Rothschild indicated, it's partial

24

25

in that sense?

1 Α. Yes. 2 MR. ROTHSCHILD: So that the record is clear, I think what you're saying is there are parts 3 4 of the meeting that are on the tape that precede this? 5 MR. GILLEN: Yes. 6 MR. ROTHSCHILD: Okay. And that's not part 7 of this document? MR. GILLEN: Correct. 8 9 MR. ROTHSCHILD: Thank you. 10 THE COURT: And there are parts of the 11 meeting that came after the tape? MR. GILLEN: No, there's nothing after, Your 12 Honor. Well, let me ask Mr. Nilsen. 13 14 BY MR. GILLEN: 15 Q. Mr. Nilsen, is there anything that occurred 16 at the board meeting after what's reflected in this 17 transcript? 18 Α. Yes. 19 THE COURT: Sure looks like it, because it 20 has --21 MR. GILLEN: Yes. 22 THE COURT: He's cut off in mid-sentence. 23 MR. GILLEN: Exactly.

THE COURT: And do I also understand,

Mr. Gillen, that there are -- that this is not a

24

```
1
      continuous narrative, that there are, for example,
      votes in the midst of this dialogue that were not
 2
      transcribed?
 3
                MR. GILLEN: Exactly, Your Honor. And I'm
 4
 5
      going to try to get that out so you know exactly --
                THE COURT: I heard that. I just wanted to
 6
 7
      verify that.
                MR. GILLEN: Yes, the votes are reflected in
 8
      the minutes. And that's --
 9
10
                THE COURT: I understand.
11
                MR. GILLEN: All right. Good enough.
12
                MR. ROTHSCHILD: Your Honor, to make sure
13
      I'm clear, there is actually -- the tape includes
14
      other parts of the meeting that are -- that precede
15
      the part of the meeting where this transcript begins,
16
      and that's not taped over or missed, it actually
17
      exists, and so this is not everything that was taped.
18
      Is that right?
19
                MR. GILLEN: Yes.
20
                THE COURT: But they're not germane to this
21
      issue.
             Is that --
22
                MR. ROTHSCHILD: Well, I'm not sure that's
23
      correct, and I'm not --
24
                THE COURT: Do we care?
```

MR. ROTHSCHILD: I just want to make sure

that the record is clear. This is not everything that's on that physical tape. THE COURT: I understand. MR. GILLEN: Okay. Good enough. THE COURT: Are you going to get into an extended area? I was going to go until 4:45, but if you think that you're going to get into a longer area, we could adjourn. MR. GILLEN: It's a good place for a break, Your Honor, if it's okay with you. THE COURT: All right. Why don't we then call it a day, this shortened session. We will reconvene for a full-day session at 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning, and we'll stand in recess until that time. Thank you, all. (Whereupon, the proceedings were adjourned.)

1	CERTIFICATION
2	I hereby certify that the proceedings and
3	evidence are contained fully and accurately in
4	the notes taken by me on the within
5	proceedings and that this copy is a correct
6	transcript of the same.
7	Dated in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, this
8	21st day of October, 2005.
9	
10	/s/ Lori A. Shuey
11	Lori A. Shuey, RPR, CRR U.S. Official Court Reporter
12	United States Courthouse 228 Walnut Street, P.O. Box 983
13	Harrisburg, PA 17108-0983 (717)215-1270
14	(/1/)213 12/0
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	